

DRAFT

Note: These Minutes will remain DRAFT until approved at the next meeting of the Committee

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 11 DECEMBER 2013

Councillors Present: David Allen, Jeff Beck, Paul Bryant (Chairman), George Chandler, Hilary Cole, Roger Hunneman, Garth Simpson, Anthony Stansfeld, Julian Swift-Hook, Ieuan Tuck and Virginia von Celsing (Vice-Chairman)

Also Present: Emmanuel Alozie (Solicitor), Jake Brown (Planning), Michael Butler (Planning), Paul Goddard (Highways) and Jenny Legge (Principal Policy Officer)

Councillor(s) Absent: Councillor Paul Hewer

PART I

33. Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting held on 13 November 2013 were approved as a true and correct record and signed by the Chairman.

34. Declarations of Interest

Councillors David Allen, Jeff Beck, Ieuan Tuck and Julian Swift-Hook declared an interest in all the items on the Agenda, but reported that, as their interest was personal and not prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest, they determined to remain to take part in the debate and vote on the matter.

Councillor Swift-Hook requested that Agenda item 4(3) regarding 3-7 Sandleford Farm be taken first as he and the speaker, Tony Forward, were required at a Greenham Parish Council meeting. This was agreed by the Committee.

35. Schedule of Planning Applications

35(1) Application No. and Parish: 13/02615/COMIND - The Limes Guest House and 370a and 370b London Road, Newbury

(Councillor David Allen declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a Member of Newbury Town Council and the Planning and Highways Committee. He had been present when the application was discussed, but would consider the application afresh. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillors Jeff Beck and Ieuan Tuck declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that they were Members of Newbury Town Council. Councillor Tuck had not been present during discussions, however Councillor Beck had been present at the committee when the application was discussed. He would consider the application afresh. As their interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillor Swift-Hook declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a member of Greenham Parish Council and Newbury Town Council

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

who had previously considered the application, but reported that he would view the application afresh on its own merit. Councillor Swift-Hook also reported that his use of a computer during the meeting was in order to access information on the application before him. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

The Committee considered a report (Agenda Item 4(1)) concerning Planning Application 13/02615/COMIND in respect of section 73. Variation of Condition 3 [build in accord with approved plans] of planning permission reference 13/00252/comind at The Limes Guest House and 370a and 370b London Road, Newbury.

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, Phil Barnet, Parish Council representative, Mrs Angelika Rivero, objector, Mr Tom Rumble, agent and Mr Malcolm Dunne, BREEAM assessor, addressed the Committee on this application.

Michael Butler introduced the report to Members, which took account of all the relevant policy considerations and other material considerations. He noted that due to a technicality, should the developer wish to implement both sets of amended planning applications being considered on this agenda, they would need to apply again for permission. In conclusion the report detailed that the proposal was for a high quality scheme and was strongly recommended by Officers.

Mr Barnet in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- Newbury Town Planning and Highways Committee (NTP&HC) had considered all the applications on this site and still had the same concerns:
 - Impact on street scene
 - Overlooking
 - Access
 - Smell and noise
 - Refuse store
 - Gracewell Care Homes was now involved and this could lead to a series of amendments to modify the building. The previous application was not thought through enough and they would like to see the proposal be resubmitted in its entirety.

The Chairman enquired if Mr Barnet preferred the previous application. Mr Barnet reiterated that there were substantive concerns about both proposals. The Chairman reminded the meeting that each application should be treated on its own merits and that the extant plan could be constructed.

Councillor Hilary Cole informed the Committee that the previous application had been put forward by the previous owners of The Limes, who were not care home experts. The property had now been acquired by Gracewell and it was logical that they would wish to tweak the design to give a better living experience to the residents of the care home. Mr Barnet responded that the initial application had been informed by expert opinion.

Mrs Angelika Rivero in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- The previous approval was for a speculative scheme, which Gracewell were now trying to make work.
- The site was difficult to access using public transport and was a green field site.
- The reworking of the plans gave the opportunity to make a building to suit Gracewell's working model, but also to sort out the flaws in the original design.

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

The current building could not be energy efficient, however, it could be made more carbon neutral through the use of solar panels, for example.

- If the overall footprint was being reduced then it might be possible to save some of the orchard area.
- The scheme would not meet the BREEAM 'excellent' standard.

Councillor George Chandler asked if the greater distance to the neighbouring house in London Road created by the reduction in the footprint had addressed the concerns raised in the last application. Mrs Rivero welcomed the change, but commented that the garden land was now considered 'brown field' due to the previous permission and it would be good if some of the orchard could be saved.

Councillor Cole asked Officers to clarify whether this was a green field site. Michael Butler confirmed that technically Mrs Rivero was correct. In principle a redevelopment was acceptable if garden land was in the curtilage; this was green field. However, in permitting the scheme, policies had overridden the technical distinction. It would be more useful to think of it as an extant permission.

Councillor Anthony Stansfeld conjectured whether the extant permission would have been approved without the assurance that it would be a BREEAM 'excellent' scheme. Michael Butler advised the Committee that CS15 was adopted in January 2013 and after this the BREEAM 'excellent' Condition was automatically applied. The Condition was technically feasible to achieve, but was expensive.

Mr Tom Rumble in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- Planning permission was granted in June 2013 and the property had since been sold to Gracewell Home Care (GHC). The principle of a care home in this vicinity was agreed and GCH wanted to apply their experience in design.
- GHC provided high quality care; brought employment to the area; ensured the amenities of resident and neighbours were protected and had designed a well thought out and improved scheme.

Councillor Cole asked if a combined heat and power (CHP) scheme or solar panelling had been considered. Mr Rumble confirmed that a CHP was now included in the design.

Councillor Jeff Beck suggested that the two applications on this agenda were intertwined, however in one application an extra parking space was shown to be required, whilst in the other there were too many parking spaces. Mr Rumble noted that this report was attached to the second application to be considered. However, the extra spaces were welcomed by the Highways Officer and were due to the two extra bedrooms being created.

Councillor Beck further questioned why the travel plan had not been carried out in the feasibility stage. Mr Rumble confirmed that the travel plan would be dealt with in Conditions. Michael Butler reminded the Members that each application needed to be considered on its own merit and that the first application dealt with physical changes to the site. If the questioning on the applications became confused any decision reached might be unlawful. Councillor Beck raised a question regarding the screening and landscaping and asked that it be conditioned that Ward Members were to be involved in these decisions.

Councillor Paul Bryant asked what auto-fire suppression would be installed. Mr Rumble confirmed that it would be wet not misted sprinklers.

Councillor Beck in addressing the Committee, as Ward Member raised the following points:

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

- He found it difficult to object to this application without referring to its partner application. He felt that Mrs Rivero had made some sound comments.

Councillor George Chandler concluded that he could see no problem with the variations as it was fine tuning of an existing plan, he proposed the application be accepted in line with Officers' recommendation. This proposal was seconded by Councillor Cole.

Councillor Beck asked that his opposition to the application be recorded in the minutes.

RESOLVED that the Head of Planning and Countryside be authorised to **GRANT** planning permission subject to the following conditions:

Conditions

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission and implemented strictly in accordance with the approved plans.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to review the desirability of the development to comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act (as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).should it not be started within a reasonable time.

1. No development shall commence until details of floor levels in relation to existing and proposed ground levels have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved levels.

Reason: To ensure a satisfactory relationship between the proposed building and the adjacent land in accordance with policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the submitted plans as amended by the plans received on 24th October 2013.

Reason: To ensure that this permission relates to the revised plans only.

3. All the window(s) at indicated as being obscure glazed on the submitted amended plans shall be so glazed before occupation and the obscure glazing shall thereafter be retained in position to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority. Irrespective of the provisions of the Town and Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (or any subsequent revision), no additional openings shall be inserted in any of the first and second floor elevations without the permission in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interests of the amenity of neighbouring properties in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

4. The detailed layout of the site shall comply with the Local Planning Authority's standards in respect of road and footpath design and vehicle parking and turning provision. This condition shall apply notwithstanding any indications to these matters which have been given in the current application.

Reason: In the interest of road safety and flow of traffic in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

5. No development shall commence until samples of the external facing materials to be used in the proposed development have been submitted on the application site only, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This condition shall apply irrespective of any indications as to the details that may have been submitted with the application, and shall where necessary include the submission

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

of samples of glass, plastic and mortar materials. Thereafter the materials used in the development shall be in accordance with the approved samples.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

6. No development shall commence until a scheme for the means of treatment of the hard surfaced areas of the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Nursing Home shall not be occupied before the hard surfaced areas have been constructed in accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity, in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

7. The hours of work for all contractors for the duration of the site development [including all demolition works] shall, unless otherwise agreed by the Local Planning Authority in writing, be limited to:

7.30am to 6.00pm on Mondays to Fridays 7.30am to 1.00pm on Saturdays and NO work shall be carried out on Sundays or Bank Holidays.

Reason: In the interests of the amenities of neighbouring occupiers in accord with policy CS14 in the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

8. The premises shall be used for a Nursing Home and for no other purposes including any other purpose in Class C2 of the Schedule of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 2005 (as amended) or any subsequent amendment to this Order.

Reason: Any other use may not be acceptable on the site in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026

9. No development shall commence until an Air Quality Assessment has been submitted to demonstrate any likely changes in air quality exposure to air pollutants as a result of the proposed development and the exposure of receptors to the existing air pollution. The assessment is to compare the air quality following completion of the development with that expected at the time without the development. The assessment will need to include:

- 1) assess the existing air quality in the study area (existing baseline)
- 2) predict the future air quality without the development in place (future baseline)
- 3) predict the future air quality with the development in place (with development)
- 4) details of mitigation

Reason: to accord with policy CS14 in the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

10. Prior to works starting on site construction and location details of a newt hibernaculum to be built in the north east corner of the site will be submitted to the local planning authority for approval. Such approved details will be implemented in full and the hibernaculum maintained thereafter.

Reason: To accord with Policy CS17 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity) of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

11. No development or other operations shall commence on site until a detailed scheme of landscaping for the site is submitted to and approved in writing by the

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

Local Planning Authority. This shall be agreed in concert with the ward Councillor. The details shall include schedules of plants noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/densities, an implementation programme and details of written specifications including cultivation and other operations involving tree, shrub and grass establishment. The scheme shall ensure;

- a) Completion of the approved landscape scheme within the first planting season following completion of development.
- b) Any trees shrubs or plants that die or become seriously damaged within five years of this development shall be replaced in the following year by plants of the same size and species.

Reason: To ensure the implementation of a satisfactory scheme of landscaping in accordance with the objectives of Policy CS18 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

12. No development (including site clearance and any other preparatory works) shall commence on site until a scheme for the protection of trees to be retained is submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such a scheme shall include a plan showing the location of the protective fencing, and shall specify the type of protective fencing, all in accordance with B.S.5837:2012. Such fencing shall be erected prior to any development works taking place and at least 2 working days notice shall be given to the Local Planning Authority that it has been erected. It shall be maintained and retained for the full duration of works or until such time as agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. No activities or storage of materials whatsoever shall take place within the protected areas without the prior written agreement of the Local Planning Authority.

Note: The protective fencing should be as specified at Chapter 6 and detailed in figure 2 of BS5837:2012.

Reason: To ensure the enhancement of the development by the retention of existing trees and natural features during the construction phase in accordance with Policy CS18 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

13. No development or other operations shall commence on site until details of the proposed access, hard surfacing, drainage and services providing for the protection of the root zones of trees to be retained has been submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the protection of trees identified for retention at the site in accordance with the objectives of Policy CS18 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

14. No development or other operations shall commence on site until an arboricultural method statement has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and shall include details of the implementation, supervision and monitoring of all temporary tree protection and any special construction works within any defined tree protection area. In addition, no development or other operations shall commence on site until a detailed schedule of tree works including timing and phasing of operations has been submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. In addition, no development shall take place (including site clearance and any other preparatory works) until the applicant has secured the implementation of an arboricultural watching brief in accordance with a written scheme of site monitoring, which has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

Reason: To ensure the protection of trees identified for retention at the site in accordance with the objectives of Policy CS18 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

15. No development shall commence on site until full details of how spoil arising from the development will be used and/or disposed of have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These details shall:

- a) Show where any spoil to remain on the site will be deposited,
- b) Show the resultant ground levels for spoil deposited on the site (compared to existing ground levels),
- c) Include measures to remove the spoil from the site.
- d) Include a timescale for the spoil removal and associated works.

All spoil arising from the development shall be used and/or disposed of in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure appropriate disposal of spoil from the development and to ensure that any change of ground levels on the site will not harm the character and amenity of the area. In accordance with the NPPF and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026.

16. The new nursing home shall achieve 'Excellent' under BREEAM (or any such equivalent national measure of sustainable building which replaces that scheme). No building shall be occupied until a final Certificate has been issued certifying that BREEAM (or any such equivalent national measure of sustainable building which replaces that scheme) rating of 'Excellent' has been achieved for the development, has been issued and a copy has been provided to the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the development contributes to sustainable construction. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS15 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006).

17. The use shall not commence until the vehicle parking and / or turning space have been surfaced, marked out and provided in accordance with the approved plan(s). The parking and/or turning space shall thereafter be kept available for parking (of private motor cars and/or light goods vehicles) at all times.

Reason: To ensure the development is provided with adequate parking facilities, in order to reduce the likelihood of roadside parking that would adversely affect road safety and the flow of traffic. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

18. The use shall not commence until the cycle parking has been provided for employees in accordance with the approved drawings and this area shall thereafter be kept available for the parking of cycles at all times.

Reason: To ensure the development reduces reliance on private motor vehicles and assists with the parking, storage and security of cycles. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

19. No development shall take place until details of the access into and out of the site have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall not be brought into use until the accesses have been constructed in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: In the interest of road safety. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

20. The applicant / owner / operator shall submit to the Council a Travel Plan for employees before the development is commenced. The travel plan shall be approved by the Council and implemented within 3 months of the occupation of the new building hereby permitted. The plan shall then be operated in perpetuity on the site/ building.

Reason: To minimise travel to and from the site by private vehicle by employees in accord with the advice in the NPPF 2012.

21. No development shall take place until a Construction Method Statement has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. The statement shall provide for:-

- (a) The parking of vehicles of site operatives and visitors
- (b) Loading and unloading of plant and materials
- (c) Storage of plant and materials used in constructing the development
- (d) The erection and maintenance of security hoarding including decorative displays and facilities for public viewing
- (e) Wheel washing facilities
- (f) Measures to control the emission of dust and dirt during construction
- (g) A scheme for recycling/disposing of waste resulting from demolition and construction works

Reason: To safeguard the amenity of adjoining land uses and occupiers and in the interests of highway safety. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies CS5 and CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Policy TRANS 1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007),

22. The removal of the roof tiles, soffits, lead flashing and hanging tiles on Nos. 366 & 368 shall be undertaken by hand under the supervision of a licensed ecologist. In addition, no development shall take place until a drawing showing the location of four built in bat boxes (Ibstock Type B or similar) to be incorporated in the walls of the new building has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the local planning authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved drawing and the bat roosts created hereafter retained. In addition, a copy of the Natural England EPS License required will be provided to the local planning authority prior to works commencing on site. No external lighting will illuminate the bat roost access points provided as part of the mitigation scheme. Removal of any tree with bat roost features will only commence after an experienced arborist or bat worker has confirmed that there are no roosting bats present. If roosting bats or evidence of their presence is found then advice from Natural England or a licensed bat worker must be sought before felling takes place. Tree, shrub and hedge removal will take place outside the bird breeding

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

season (March - August) or immediately following confirmation by an ecologist that birds are not nesting or have dependant young.

Reason: To ensure the protection of species protected by law and to accord with Policy CS17 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity) of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026 and the advice in the NPPF.

INFORMATIVE:

1. This decision has been made in a positive way to foster the delivery of sustainable development having regard to Development Plan policies and available guidance to secure high quality appropriate development. In this application whilst there has been a need to balance conflicting considerations, the local planning authority has secured and accepted what is considered to be a development which improves the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area.
2. This permission should be read in conjunction with a legal agreement dated the 28th June 2013. You are advised to make yourself aware of the contents.

35(2) Application No. & Parish: 13/02622/COMIND - The Limes Guest House and 370a and 370b London Road, Newbury.

(Councillor David Allen declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a Member of Newbury Town Council and the Planning and Highways Committee. He had been present when the application was discussed, but would consider the application afresh. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillors Jeff Beck and Ieuan Tuck declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that they were Members of Newbury Town Council. Councillor Tuck had not been present during discussions, however Councillor Beck had been present at the committee when the application was discussed. He would consider the application afresh. As their interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillor Swift-Hook declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a member of Greenham Parish Council and Newbury Town Council who had previously considered the application, but reported that he would view the application afresh on its own merit. Councillor Swift-Hook also reported that his use of a computer during the meeting was in order to access information on the application before him. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

The Committee considered a report (Agenda Item 4(2)) concerning Planning Application 13/02622/COMIND, section 73. Variation of Condition 17 on planning permission 13/00252/comind in respect of The Limes Guest House and 370a and 370b London Road, Newbury.

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, Phil Barnet, Parish Council representative, Mrs Angelika Rivero, objector, and Mr Tom Rumble, agent and Mr Malcolm Dunne, BREEAM assessor, addressed the Committee on this application.

Michael Butler introduced the report to Members, which took account of all the relevant policy considerations and other material considerations. He noted that although the BREEAM 'excellent' standard would not be achieved,[if the application were approved] the scheme would still be of a very high quality. The update report confirmed that a

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

combined heat and power (CHP) unit would be installed. In conclusion the report stated that there were clear reasons for the proposal to be recommended by Officers.

Councillor Hilary Cole questioned the seriousness of explosive refrigerants in the report. Michael Butler suggested that this question be directed to one of the speakers.

Councillor Roger Hunneman expressed the view that he found it difficult to accept the expense of a roof terrace if BREEAM 'excellent' was the real cost. Michael Butler acknowledged that the Members would have to weigh the benefits to residents against the BREEAM standard. Councillor George Chandler asked if there were different standards for care homes. Michael Butler suggested that the Committee addressed this question to the BREEAM assessor.

Phil Barnet in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- Councillors were very keen to see high standards of BREEAM in all new builds without exception. Members had seen this part of the original application as a step forward.
- He was content with the installation of the CHP and thought this might reassure the members of the Newbury Town Council Planning and Highways Committee.

Mrs Rivero in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- She acknowledged that there had been pressure on the Members to approve the last planning application. However, there were flaws with the design:
 - Inaccessibility via public transport
 - Lack of parking
 - Poor design
 - Energy efficiency.
- The expectation was that elected representatives provided oversight and accountability. It would be almost impossible to achieve BREEAM 'excellent'.
- The information that noise insulation in a care home needed to be low so that staff could hear residents was erroneous. Residents were monitored via visual checks recorded electronically.
- The main argument against achieving the standard was of additional cost. Gracewell were professionals and would have accounted for this extra cost. Although, the initial cost would be higher, overtime the running costs would be lower. This would have been a good time to redesign to accommodate a high BREEAM level rather than include extra luxuries.
- The Committee could send a message to developers; to create a legacy whereby developers needed to account for BREEAM in their costings.

Councillor Jeff Beck inquired if Mrs Rivero could expand on the refrigerant question raised earlier in the discussion. She explained that she had worked in a hospital chain and that the fridges were small units for storing medicines and were the same as used in any domestic situation.

Mr Dunne and Mr Tumble in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- Mr Dunne was a BREEAM consultant / assessor with 25 years experience. He explained that to get all the points needed for the 'excellent' standard, work had to be done prior to the planning stage.

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

- With regards to the confusion on parking spaces raised in the previous application he explained that the BREEAM requirement was at odds with Highways in that it asked for as few spaces as possible.
- Passive ventilation had been included in the new design and refrigerants had been designed out.
- Gracewell had committed to follow BREEAM where possible, for example:
 - By installing the CHP system, which gave a reduction in CO²,
 - Installing LED lighting
 - Using green materials
 - Reusing waste water for the garden and possibly laundry

Councillor Cole asked if Mr Dunne knew of any examples of exploding fridges. Mr Dunne said he did not know of any. She also enquired what alarm system was to be used in the home. Mr Rumble confirmed that it would be the Nurse call system.

Councillor Allen recognised that there were some fundamental problems with obtaining the 'excellent' standard and asked what would be the time and cost issues and how close was the project to getting the standard. Mr Dunne replied that some points could only be awarded prior to the planning stage and so could not be accessed. He estimated that the project was 50% towards 'excellent'.

The Chairman inquired, if the site were being designed from square one, would 'excellent' have been achievable. Mr Dunne advised that if that was the target, then it would have been met.

Councillor Jeff Beck asked for confirmation that the structural changes needed to account for the CHP had been included in the proposal. Mr Dunne assured the Committee that they had and as the plant was located on the second floor, the noise should not affect residents.

Councillor Beck requested clarification regarding parking spaces. Mr Dunne explained that BREEAM looked to reduce spaces, however, this caused problems for Highways Officers and so a compromise had been reached. He also advised that the travel plan was carried out at the feasibility stage.

Mr Dunne gave the following answers to questioning from Councillors Hunneman, Cole and Chandler:

- Care homes were grouped as multi-residential and therefore different criteria applied
- Cycle spaces were required
- Solar panels could still be included in the design, but might not make much difference and would be costly. The CHP unit was more viable, with a 20% reduction in CO²
- The CHP would use gas

Councillor Beck, speaking as Ward Member, raised the following points:

- It appeared to him that the application previously passed could have been built and achieved 'excellent'. The developers were now asking for a reduction of 50% and were not prepared to pay for solar panels. It appeared to be a cost reduction exercise.

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

Councillor Beck proposed to reject the application against Officer recommendation. This was seconded by Councillor Chandler.

Councillor Cole found Mrs Rivero's presentation impressive. She considered BREEAM to be a box ticking exercise and quoted from the Core Strategy of July 2012, where 'excellent' had been agreed upon as the minimum standard. She stated that this was what all Members had agreed upon and should therefore be upheld.

Councillor Allen put forward a contrary view that the current application was being penalised for the extant plan. Due to the change in ownership the current developers were unable to access credits and he was reluctant to refuse permission.

Councillor Anthony Stansfeld commented that the acceptance of an application should not be recommended if the Conditions could not be met by the developer. He offered the view that this application should be accepted but that the original application should not have been approved.

Councillor Chandler noted that the Committee should encourage a high standard of build and should adhere to their strategy.

Councillor Hunneman concurred with Councillor Cole, but concluded that care homes were in great need and if the application were not approved the project might be in jeopardy. He asked Officers what the reason for refusal could be. Michael Butler responded that the only reason could be that it was contrary to policy.

At the vote the motion was lost.

Councillor Allen proposed to grant the application, as per Officer recommendation. This was seconded by Councillor Ieuan Tuck.

Councillor Beck asked that his opposition to the application be recorded in the minutes.

RESOLVED that the Head of Planning and Countryside be authorised to **GRANT** planning permission, subject to the following conditions:

CONDITIONS

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission and implemented strictly in accordance with the approved plans.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to review the desirability of the development to comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act (as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004). should it not be started within a reasonable time.

2. No development shall commence until details of floor levels in relation to existing and proposed ground levels have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved levels.

Reason: To ensure a satisfactory relationship between the proposed building and the adjacent land in accordance with policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

3. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the submitted plans as amended by the plans received on the 8th April 2013.

Reason: To ensure that this permission relates to the revised plans only.

4. All the window(s) at indicated as being obscure glazed on the submitted amended plans shall be so glazed before occupation and the obscure glazing shall thereafter be retained in position to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

Irrespective of the provisions of the Town and Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (or any subsequent revision), no additional openings shall be inserted in any of the first and second floor elevations without the permission in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interests of the amenity of neighbouring properties in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

5. The detailed layout of the site shall comply with the Local Planning Authority's standards in respect of road and footpath design and vehicle parking and turning provision. This condition shall apply notwithstanding any indications to these matters which have been given in the current application.

Reason: In the interest of road safety and flow of traffic in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

6. No development shall commence until samples of the materials to be used in the proposed development have been submitted on the application site only and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This condition shall apply irrespective of any indications as to the details that may have been submitted with the application, and shall where necessary include the submission of samples of glass, plastic and mortar materials. Thereafter the materials used in the development shall be in accordance with the approved samples.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

7. No development shall commence until a scheme for the means of treatment of the hard surfaced areas of the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Nursing Home shall not be occupied before the hard surfaced areas have been constructed in accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity, in accordance with policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

8. The hours of work for all contractors for the duration of the site development [including all demolition works] shall, unless otherwise agreed by the Local Planning Authority in writing, be limited to:

7.30am to 6.00pm on Mondays to Fridays 7.30am to 1.00pm on Saturdays and NO work shall be carried out on Sundays or Bank Holidays.

Reason: In the interests of the amenities of neighbouring occupiers in accord with policy CS14 in the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

9. The premises shall be used for a Nursing Home and for no other purposes including any other purpose in Class C2 of the Schedule of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 2005 (as amended) or any subsequent amendment to this Order.

Reason: Any other use may not be acceptable on the site in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

10. No development shall commence until an Air Quality Assessment has been submitted to demonstrate any likely changes in air quality exposure to air pollutants as a result of the proposed development and the exposure of receptors to the existing air pollution. The assessment is to compare the air quality following completion of the development with that expected at the time without the development. The assessment will need to include:-

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

- 1) assess the existing air quality in the study area (existing baseline)
- 2) predict the future air quality without the development in place (future baseline)
- 3) predict the future air quality with the development in place (with development)
- 4) details of mitigation

Reason: to accord with policy CS14 in the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

11. Prior to works starting on site construction and location details of a newt hibernaculum to be built in the north east corner of the site will be submitted to the local planning authority for approval. Such approved details will be implemented in full and the hibernaculum maintained thereafter.

Reason: To accord with Policy CS17 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity) of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

12. No development or other operations shall commence on site until a detailed scheme of landscaping for the site is submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This to be done in concert with the ward Member. The details shall include schedules of plants noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/densities, an implementation programme and details of written specifications including cultivation and other operations involving tree, shrub and grass establishment. The scheme shall ensure:-

- a) Completion of the approved landscape scheme within the first planting season following completion of development.
- b) Any trees shrubs or plants that die or become seriously damaged within five years of this development shall be replaced in the following year by plants of the same size and species.

Reason: To ensure the implementation of a satisfactory scheme of landscaping in accordance with the objectives of policy CS18 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

13. No development (including site clearance and any other preparatory works) shall commence on site until a scheme for the protection of trees to be retained is submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such a scheme shall include a plan showing the location of the protective fencing, and shall specify the type of protective fencing, all in accordance with B.S.5837:2012. Such fencing shall be erected prior to any development works taking place and at least 2 working days notice shall be given to the Local Planning Authority that it has been erected. It shall be maintained and retained for the full duration of works or until such time as agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. No activities or storage of materials whatsoever shall take place within the protected areas without the prior written agreement of the Local Planning Authority.

Note: The protective fencing should be as specified at Chapter 6 and detailed in figure 2 of BS5837:2012.

Reason: To ensure the enhancement of the development by the retention of existing trees and natural features during the construction phase in accordance with Policy CS18 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

14. No development or other operations shall commence on site until details of the proposed access, hard surfacing, drainage and services providing for the protection of the root zones of trees to be retained has been submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the protection of trees identified for retention at the site in accordance with the objectives of Policy CS18 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

15. No development or other operations shall commence on site until an arboricultural method statement has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and shall include details of the implementation, supervision and monitoring of all temporary tree protection and any special construction works within any defined tree protection area. In addition, no development or other operations shall commence on site until a detailed schedule of tree works including timing and phasing of operations has been submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. In addition, no development shall take place (including site clearance and any other preparatory works) until the applicant has secured the implementation of an arboricultural watching brief in accordance with a written scheme of site monitoring, which has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the protection of trees identified for retention at the site in accordance with the objectives of Policy CS18 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

16. No development shall commence on site until full details of how spoil arising from the development will be used and/or disposed of have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These details shall:-

- a) Show where any spoil to remain on the site will be deposited,
- b) Show the resultant ground levels for spoil deposited on the site (compared to existing ground levels),
- c) Include measures to remove the spoil from the site.
- d) Include a timescale for the spoil removal and associated works.

All spoil arising from the development shall be used and/or disposed of in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure appropriate disposal of spoil from the development and to ensure that any change of ground levels on the site will not harm the character and amenity of the area. In accordance with the NPPF and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

17. The new nursing home shall achieve Very Good under BREEAM (or any such equivalent national measure of sustainable building which replaces that scheme). No building shall be occupied until a final Certificate has been issued certifying that BREEAM (or any such equivalent national measure of sustainable building which replaces that scheme) rating of Very Good has been achieved for the development, has been issued and a copy has been provided to the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the development contributes to sustainable construction. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS15 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006)

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

18. The use shall not commence until the vehicle parking and / or turning space have been surfaced, marked out and provided in accordance with the approved plan(s). The parking and/or turning space shall thereafter be kept available for parking (of private motor cars and/or light goods vehicles) at all times.

Reason: To ensure the development is provided with adequate parking facilities, in order to reduce the likelihood of roadside parking that would adversely affect road safety and the flow of traffic. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

19. The use shall not commence until the cycle parking has been provided for employees in accordance with the approved drawings and this area shall thereafter be kept available for the parking of cycles at all times.

Reason: To ensure the development reduces reliance on private motor vehicles and assists with the parking, storage and security of cycles. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

20. No development shall take place until details of the access into and out of the site have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall not be brought into use until the accesses have been constructed in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: In the interest of road safety. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

21. The applicant / owner / operator shall submit to the Council a Travel Plan for employees before the development is commenced. The travel plan shall be approved by the Council and implemented within 3 months of the occupation of the new building hereby permitted. The plan shall then be operated in perpetuity on the site/ building.

Reason: To minimise travel to and from the site by private vehicle by employees in accord with the advice in the NPPF 2012.

22. No development shall take place until a Construction Method Statement has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. The statement shall provide for:

- (a) The parking of vehicles of site operatives and visitors
- (b) Loading and unloading of plant and materials
- (c) Storage of plant and materials used in constructing the development
- (d) The erection and maintenance of security hoarding including decorative displays and facilities for public viewing
- (e) Wheel washing facilities
- (f) Measures to control the emission of dust and dirt during construction
- (g) A scheme for recycling/disposing of waste resulting from demolition and construction works

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

Reason: To safeguard the amenity of adjoining land uses and occupiers and in the interests of highway safety. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies CS5 and CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Policy TRANS 1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007)

23. The removal of the roof tiles, soffits, lead flashing and hanging tiles on Nos. 366 & 368 shall be undertaken by hand under the supervision of a licensed ecologist. In addition, no development shall take place until a drawing showing the location of four built in bat boxes (Ibstock Type B or similar) to be incorporated in the walls of the new building has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the local planning authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved drawing and the bat roosts created hereafter retained. In addition, a copy of the Natural England EPS License required will be provided to the local planning authority prior to works commencing on site. No external lighting will illuminate the bat roost access points provided as part of the mitigation scheme. Removal of any tree with bat roost features will only commence after an experienced arboriculturist or bat worker has confirmed that there are no roosting bats present. If roosting bats or evidence of their presence is found then advice from Natural England or a licensed bat worker must be sought before felling takes place. Tree, shrub and hedge removal will take place outside the bird breeding season (March - August) or immediately following confirmation by an ecologist that birds are not nesting or have dependant young.

Reason: To ensure the protection of species protected by law and to accord with Policy CS17 (Biodiversity and Geodiversity) of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026 and the advice in the NPPF.

35(3) Application No. & Parish: 13/02408/FULD 3 - 7 Sandleford Farm, Sandleford, Newtown, Newbury

(Councillor David Allen declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a Member of Newbury Town Council and the Planning and Highways Committee. He had been present when the application was discussed, but would consider the application afresh. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillors Jeff Beck and Ieuan Tuck declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that they were Members of Newbury Town Council. Councillor Tuck had not been present during discussions, however Councillor Beck had been present at the committee when the application was discussed. He would consider the application afresh. As their interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillor Swift-Hook declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a member of Greenham Parish Council and Newbury Town Council who had previously considered the application, but reported that he would view the application afresh on its own merit. Councillor Swift-Hook also reported that his use of a computer during the meeting was in order to access information on the application before him. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

The Committee considered a report (Agenda Item 4(3)) concerning Planning Application 13/02408/FULD in respect of the demolition of existing buildings [Gilson Engineering] and erection of 9 dwellings, two 2 bed, four 3 bed and three 4 bed; a new access, sound barrier, parking and landscaping at 3 - 7 Sandleford Farm.

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, Mr A Forward, Parish Council representative and Mr R McLennan, agent, addressed the Committee on this application.

Michael Butler introduced the report to Members, which took account of all the relevant policy considerations and other material considerations and drew the Committee's attention to the contents of the Update report. He noted that the accompanying Listed Building Consent (LBC) for this site was not on the agenda as it had not been called in, however the decision made for this application would also apply to the LBC. In conclusion the report detailed that the proposal was an improved scheme and was firmly recommended for approval.

(Councillor Virginia von Celsing arrived at 6.40pm. Her vote was not taken into consideration on this item.)

Mr Forward in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- The Parish Council strongly requested that block paving be used in the scheme, but this had not been detailed in the plan.
- There was concern with the number of Conditions and how they were being used to rectify the scheme.
- There was concern regarding the lack of consultation on the sewage scheme and that no Conditions had been attached to this part of the proposal. Sewage would drain into the farm's yard.
- Concern was raised about the siting of the acoustic fence on the old foundations and that the 2m high gate, designed to reduce noise at the access, had been removed at the request of Highways Officers.
- The plans were flawed and Officers were dealing with this through Conditions.
- Hydrocarbon levels were beyond government guidelines. The land was therefore contaminated.
- The Condition regarding access should read 'accesses'.
- In conclusion, problems with the proposal were being mopped up by the use of Conditions. The Committee could not reach an informed decision without being presented with all the information.

The Chairman asked Officers to comment. Michael Butler explained that nearly all planning permissions were subject to Conditions as set out by law. Should the application be approved by the Committee, the Conditions were also approved, and authority was delegated to Officers to enforce them. This was not democratic, it was a delegated authority process. The site had significant planning history and he considered that the information provided by the developers was more than adequate for a decision to be reached.

Councillor Hilary Cole asked if Mr Forward considered the current plan to be better, architecturally. Mr Forward was unable to concur with this view as the finishes to be used were unconfirmed. Councillor Cole asked Officers if Parish Councils were consulted in respect of finishes. Michael Butler answered that Ward Members were sometimes consulted.

The Chairman queried if Councillor Julian Swift-Hook would be happy to assist in this matter. Councillor Swift-Hook agreed to assist and confirmed that this was a regular occurrence.

Councillor Swift-Hook sought clarification from Mr Forward as to concerns that a report on pollution had not been submitted by the developers. Mr Forward confirmed that a

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

report had only been submitted for the previous application and noted that Planning guidance was that the application should not be determined if the report was not present.

Mr McLennan in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- The scheme would enable Gilson Engineering to move to more appropriate premises. The changes made for a more marketable scheme. Gilson's had not shied away from problems and had consulted with experts where necessary. He believed the new design was a better reflection of a farm yard than the extant permission.

Councillor Jeff Beck expressed concern about the sound barrier on the north of the site and that the entrance gate had been removed. He was conscious that road noise would permeate the site, but also accepted that the entrance gate had been removed at the request of Highways Officers. Mr McLennan noted that the proposal at the entrance to the site had been for a five-bar gate for residents security rather than as a noise barrier. The noise report had been updated since the gate had been removed from the plans. The gate to the north was, however, constructed as a sound barrier. Councillor Swift-Hook concurred that there was concern regarding the lack of noise mitigation at the entrance. Mr McLennan observed that there had been no entrance gate in the approved scheme and that this had not changed.

Councillor Swift-Hook asked why a report had not been submitted to the Committee regarding land contamination. Mr McLennan confirmed that an updated report from the approved proposal had been submitted.

Councillor Swift-Hook drew the Members attention to point 6.4.3 of the report and the use of tarmac rather than block paving. Mr McLennan confirmed that the developer would prefer block paving. Michael Butler interjected that he had recommended tarmac for adoption purposes, however he had subsequently found that this was not necessary and block paving was acceptable.

Councillor Swift-Hook addressing the Committee, as Ward Member, raised the following points:

- He agreed that the application was an improvement on the extant scheme, however his concerns were:
 - Lack of affordable housing on the basis of viability. There were serious concerns in Greenham that affordable housing was being deleted from proposals as the value of a scheme was increased if there was no affordable housing and this was an incentive to developers to remove such units from their proposals .
 - The relative height of the new building to the farm house and its proximity.
 - His main point against approval was the reliance on Conditions and the delegation of decisions to Officers and Ward Members rather than the Committee having sight of the complete plan.

Councillor Cole proposed the application be accepted in line with Officers' recommendation. This proposal was seconded by Councillor Beck.

RESOLVED that the Head of Planning and Countryside be authorised to **GRANT** planning permission, subject to the completion of a S.106 planning obligation.

If for any reason the S.106 obligation was not completed by 28 February 2014, the application, if expedient, be refused for the following reason:

"Notwithstanding the applicant's willingness to do so, the required s106 obligation to mitigate the impact the new occupiers of the housing will have upon the District's

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

facilities, services and infrastructure, has not been completed. Accordingly, since the application is contrary to the advice in the NPPF of 2012, para 122 of the 2010 CIL Regulations [as amended], policy CS5 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026, and the SPD adopted June 2013 - Delivering Investment From Sustainable Development, it is unacceptable."

CONDITIONS

1. The development shall be started within three years from the date of this permission and implemented strictly in accordance with the approved plans.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to review the desirability of the development against the advice in the DMPO of 2010 should it not be started within a reasonable time.

2. No development shall commence until samples of the materials to be used in the proposed development are submitted on the application site and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This to be done in concert with the ward member. The hard surfacing of the courtyard shall be brick/block paviours. The materials must be submitted on site only, for approval. This condition shall apply irrespective of any indications as to the details that may have been submitted with the application, and shall where necessary include the submission of samples of glass, plastic and mortar materials. Thereafter the materials used in the development shall be in accordance with the approved samples.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Adopted Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

3. No development shall commence until details of floor levels in relation to existing and proposed ground levels are submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved levels. This shall include all relevant aod levels on the site and in relation to the farmhouse located to the south.

Reason: To ensure a satisfactory relationship between the proposed dwellings and the adjacent land in accordance with Policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

4. The development must be built out in strict accord with the site plan number CS/ GE.1/ 06h received on 14th November 2013, and the additional section plans number CS/GE.1/10a received on the same date.

Reason: To clarify the planning permission in accord with the advice in the DMPO of 2010.

5. Irrespective of the provisions of the current Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (or any subsequent revision), no additions or extensions to the dwellings shall be built or ancillary buildings or structures erected within the curtilages, unless permission in writing has been granted by the Local Planning Authority on an application made for the purpose.

Reason: To prevent the over-development of the site and to safeguard the amenities of neighbouring properties in accordance with Policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

6. No development or other operations shall commence until a detailed scheme of landscaping for the site is submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall include schedules of plants noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/densities, an implementation programme and

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

details of written specifications including cultivation and other operations involving tree, shrub and grass establishment. The scheme shall ensure;

a) Completion of the approved landscape scheme within the first planting season following completion of development.

b) Any trees shrubs or plants that die, become seriously damaged or die within five years of this development shall be replaced in the following year by plants of the same size and species.

Reason: To ensure the implementation of a satisfactory scheme of landscaping in accordance with the objectives of policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

7. No development shall commence (including site clearance and any other preparatory works) until a scheme for the protection of trees to be retained is submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such a scheme shall include a plan showing the location of the protective fencing, and shall specify the type of protective fencing, all in accordance with B.S.5837:2005. Such fencing shall be erected prior to any development works taking place and at least 2 working days notice shall be given to the Local Planning Authority that it has been erected. It shall be maintained and retained for the full duration of works or until such time as agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. No activities or storage of materials whatsoever shall take place within the protected areas without the prior written agreement of the Local Planning Authority.

Note: The protective fencing should be as specified at Chapter 9 and detailed in figure 2 of B.S.5837:2005.

Reason: To ensure the enhancement of the development by the retention of existing trees and natural features during the construction phase in accordance with Policy ENV20 of West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

8. No development or other operations on site shall commence until an arboricultural method statement is submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and shall include details of the implementation, supervision and monitoring of all temporary tree protection and any special construction works within any defined tree protection area. Thereafter the development shall incorporate and be undertaken in accordance with the approved statement.

Reason: To ensure the protection of trees identified for retention at the site in accordance with the objectives of policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

9. Prior to the commencement of building and other operations on site the vehicular, pedestrian/ cycle access(es) and associated engineering operations shall be constructed in accordance with the approved drawing(s).

Reason: In the interest of highway safety and to accord with Policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007 in the interest of highway safety.

10. The existing vehicular access at the site shall be stopped up and abandoned immediately after the new access hereby approved has been brought into use. The footway/cycleway(s) and/or verge(s) shall, at the same time as the stopping-up and abandonment, be reinstated to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

Reason: In the interest of road safety and highway maintenance and in accordance with Policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

11. No development of the site shall be brought into use until visibility splays of 2.4m by 215 metres have been provided at the vehicle access point. The visibility splays shall, thereafter, be kept free of all obstructions to visibility above a height of 0.6 metres above carriageway level.

Reason: In the interest of road safety in accordance with Policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

12. Prior to the development being brought into use the cycle parking shall be provided in accordance with the approved drawings and this area shall thereafter be kept available for the parking of cycles at all times.

Reason: To ensure the development reduces reliance on private motor vehicles and assists with the parking, storage and security of cycles in accordance with Policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

13. No development shall commence until details to show a temporary parking area and turning space to be provided and maintained concurrently with the development of the site are submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such approved parking area and turning space shall at the commencement of development be provided and thereafter retained in accordance with the approved details until the development has been completed and shall during that time be used for parking by all employees, contractors and operatives or other visitors during all periods that they are working at or visiting the site.

Reason: In accordance with Policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007 to ensure the development is provided with adequate parking facilities during the construction period, in order to minimise the incidence of off site parking in the locality which could cause danger to other road users or long term inconvenience to local residents.

14. No development approved by this planning permission shall take place until the following components of a scheme to deal with the risks associated with contamination of the site have each been submitted to and approved, in writing, by the local planning authority:

1) A preliminary risk assessment which has identified:

- all previous uses
- potential contaminants associated with those uses
- a conceptual model of the site indicating sources, pathways and receptors
- potentially unacceptable risks arising from contamination at the site.

2) A site investigation scheme, based on (1) to provide information for a detailed assessment of the risk to all receptors that may be affected, including those off site.

3) The site investigation results and the detailed risk assessment (2) and, based on these, an options appraisal and remediation strategy giving full details of the remediation measures required and how they are to be undertaken.

4) A verification plan providing details of the data that will be collected in order to demonstrate that the works set out in (3) are complete and identifying any

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

requirements for longer-term monitoring of pollutant linkages, maintenance and arrangements for contingency action.

Any changes to these components require the express consent of the local planning authority. The scheme shall be implemented as approved.

Reason: To protect the local aquifer in the area in accord with the advice in the NPPF of 2012.

15. Prior to occupation of any part of the permitted development, a verification report demonstrating completion of the works set out in the approved remediation strategy and the effectiveness of the remediation shall be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the local planning authority. The report shall include results of sampling and monitoring carried out in accordance with the approved verification plan to demonstrate that the site remediation criteria have been met. It shall also include any plan (a long-term monitoring and maintenance plan) for longer-term monitoring of pollutant linkages, maintenance and arrangements for contingency action, as identified in the verification plan, and for the reporting of this to the local planning authority.

Reason: To ensure that contamination at the site is remediated such that the site does not pose a threat to controlled waters. In accord with the advice in the NPPF of 2012.

16. If, during development, contamination not previously identified is found to be present at the site then no further development (unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority) shall be carried out until the developer has submitted, and obtained written approval from the Local Planning Authority for, a remediation strategy detailing how this unsuspected contamination shall be dealt with. The remediation strategy shall be implemented as approved.

Reason: To avoid potential hotspots on the site being discovered and not remediated in accord with the advice in the NPPF of 2012.

17. The development hereby permitted shall not be commenced until such time as a scheme to dispose of surface water has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the local planning authority. The scheme shall be implemented as approved. The well of the dairy and farmhouse plus the existing drainage on the Sandleford Farmhouse site must not be altered.

Reason: Areas of contamination may also be present at this site. Infiltration drainage must not be located in contaminated areas. In accord with the advice in the NPPF of 2012.

18. No development shall take place within the application area until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological work in accordance with a written scheme of investigation which has been submitted by the applicant and approved by the Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that any archaeological features or finds identified are adequately investigated and recorded. In accord with policy CS19 in the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

19. Prior to works commencing on site, the location of the mitigation measures outlined in para. 3.2 of the Gilson's Engineering, Sandleford Farm, Newbury, Bat Survey by Aluco Ecology Ltd and dated July 2009, and the recommendations in the arbtech report of 11th September 2013, will be supplied to the Local Planning Authority for approval. Such approved plan will be implemented in full and the measures undertaken shall be maintained thereafter. In addition, prior to works commencing on site, a reptile mitigation plan shall be submitted to the local

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

planning authority for approval. Such approved plan to be implemented in full and the measures undertaken shall be maintained thereafter

Reason: to protect species on site in accord with the advice in the NPPF of 2012.

20. No development shall commence until details of a scheme of works to protect the occupiers from externally generated noise is shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the LPA. All works forming part of the scheme shall be completed before any dwelling is occupied.

Reason: to protect the amenities of the new occupants, in accord with policy OVS6 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

21. The hours of work for all contractors (and sub-contractors) for the duration of the site development shall, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority, be limited to; 7.30 am to 6.00 pm on Mondays to Fridays, 7.30 am to 1.00 pm on Saturdays, and NO work shall be carried out on Sundays or Bank Holidays.

Reason: In order to protect the amenities of surrounding residents in accordance with policy ENV20 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991 to 2006 Saved Policies 2007.

22. The dwellings shall achieve Level 4 of the Code for Sustainable Homes (or any such equivalent national measure of sustainability for house design which replaces that scheme). No dwelling shall be occupied until a final Code Certificate relevant to it, certifying that Code Level 4 of the Code for Sustainable Homes (or any such equivalent national measure of sustainability for house design which replaces that scheme) has been achieved, has been issued and a copy has been provided to the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the development contributes to sustainable construction. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS15 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026 and Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006).

23. No development or other operations shall commence on site until details of the proposed access, hard surfacing, drainage and services providing for the protection of the root zones of trees to be retained has been submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the protection of trees identified for retention at the site in accordance with the objectives of Policy CS18 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 to 2026.

24. No development shall take place (including site clearance and any other preparatory works) until the applicant has secured the implementation of an arboricultural watching brief in accordance with a written scheme of site monitoring, which has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the enhancement of the development by the retention of existing trees and natural features during the construction phase in accordance with Policy CS18 of West Berkshire Core Strategy July 2006 to 2026.

25. No development shall take place until a Section 278 Agreement is signed under the Highways Act 1980 to enable the provision of the following:
 - a) The provision of the site access.
 - b) The provision of a Traffic Regulation Order to prevent right turning in and

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

out of the site.

- c) The provision of a 2 metre wide foot way fronting the site.

Reason: To ensure that the access into the site are constructed before the approved buildings in the interest of highway safety. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS13 and CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

INFORMATIVE:

1. This decision has been made in a positive way to foster the delivery of sustainable development having regard to Development Plan policies and available guidance to secure high quality appropriate development. In this application whilst there has been a need to balance conflicting considerations, the local planning authority has worked proactively with the applicant to secure and accept what is considered to be a development which improves the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area.

35(4) Application No & Parish: 13/01848/FULD - Wellington Arms, 4 Andover Road, Newbury

(Councillor David Allen declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a Member of Newbury Town Council and the Planning and Highways Committee. He had been present when the application was discussed, but would consider the application afresh. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillors Jeff Beck and Ieuan Tuck declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that they were Members of Newbury Town Council. Councillor Tuck had not been present during discussions, however Councillor Beck had been present at the committee when the application was discussed. He would consider the application afresh. As their interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillor Swift-Hook declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a member of Greenham Parish Council and Newbury Town Council who had previously considered the application, but reported that he would view the application afresh on its own merit. Councillor Swift-Hook also reported that his use of a computer during the meeting was in order to access information on the application before him. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

The Committee considered a report (Agenda Item 4(4)) concerning Planning Application 13/01848/FULD in respect of a change of use and conversion of the main Grade II Listed pub building and two outbuildings into four new residential units. Demolition of rear extension to main building, garage/store and toilet blocks, extension linking main building to function suite and boundary wall adjacent to Derby Road. Hard and soft landscaping and provision of three car park spaces at Wellington Arms, 4 Andover Road, Newbury.

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, Mr Phil Barnet, Parish Council representative, and Mr Ian Blake, applicant/agent, addressed the Committee on this application.

Councillor Adrian Edwards had mistakenly considered this site to be in his ward as it was on the border and had called in the application. The Committee agreed to allow Councillor Edwards to speak as Ward Member, as it was a material planning

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

consideration that the vitality and viability of a community facility would affect the adjacent Ward.

Jake Brown introduced the report to Members, which took account of all the relevant policy considerations and other material considerations. In conclusion he stated that the Officer recommendation was finely balanced.

- Phil Barnet in addressing the Committee raised the following points:
- He stated that local people valued these premises and hoped that the pub could be retained as a viable business.
- It was hoped that the main staircase could either be kept or given to the museum.

Councillor Anthony Stansfeld queried the notion that a pub was not viable in this locality as there was a considerable amount of new houses being built in the surrounding area. He conjectured that the building had been allowed to become derelict through neglect. Mr Barnet advised that he had spoken to local publicans and that they too were struggling.

- Ian Blake gave the following answers when questioned by the Committee:
- In his opinion the staircase was not worth keeping and was not original to the house.
- He thought that the fireplace would be retained.

Councillor Edwards, speaking as adjacent Ward Member, raised the following points:

- He described the history and interesting architecture of the building.
- He noted that four years ago it had been a viable business and had been in use by the community and believed that it could be again.
- Councillor Garth Simpson asked if the pub had been privately owned. Councillor Edwards advised the Committee that it had been owned by Enterprise Inns, but it was thought locally that the company had been reluctant to invest in the property.

Councillor Ieuan Tuck, speaking as Ward Member, raised the following points:

- He was fascinated by history of the building, but noted that he had not been contacted by one member of the public with an objection to the scheme.
- He concluded that if it were a viable venture, it would not have an application against it.
- Councillor Jeff Beck considered that the sign should be retained, but the Committee agreed this was not necessary.
- Councillor Roger Hunneman was concerned that the viability reports were funded by the applicant and might therefore be biased. Jake Brown explained that the report was independently assessed.
- Councillor Stansfeld drew to the Committees attention that support for public houses was soon to be discussed at another meeting. He expressed the view that companies obtained 'planning by dereliction'. He understood that pubs in the countryside were struggling, however this pub was surrounded by a substantial amount of new housing. It was quite clear that it had been run down by the brewery.
- Councillor Beck concluded that there was a large number of pubs in the area and the cost of refurbishment was prohibitive. The pub was very small and so the quantity of wet and food sales that could be made was limited.

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

- Councillor Virginia von Celsing appreciated the history of the pub and concluded that the listed building would maintain a modern use, thereby retaining the building.

Councillor von Celsing proposed the application be accepted in line with Officers' recommendation. This proposal was seconded by Councillor Simpson.

Councillor Hilary Cole suggested that if people valued the pub, they should use it. She found little of merit on the site visit and noted that people visited pubs for more than drinking.

Councillor George Chandler noted that in the report it stated there were eighteen pubs within a one mile radius.

RESOLVED to delegate to the Head of Planning and Countryside to **GRANT** Planning Permission subject to the schedule of conditions (section 8.2) and the completion of a Section 106 legal agreement within two months of the date of Committee.

OR

If the Section 106 Legal Agreement is not completed within two months of the date of this Committee, **DELEGATE** to the Head of Planning and Countryside to **REFUSE PERMISSION**, given the failure of the application to mitigate the impact of the development on the local Infrastructure as set out in section 8.4, where expedient.

CONDITIONS:

Time limit

1. The development shall be started within three years from the date of this permission and implemented strictly in accordance with the approved plans.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to review the desirability of the development against Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026 and the National Planning Policy Framework should it not be started within a reasonable time.

Approved plans

2. The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with drawing title numbers 3805 PL06 E, 3805 PL07 C, 3805 PL08 D, 3805 PL10 D, 3805 PL11 D and 3805 PL13 C received on 22nd November 2013 and drawing title number PL01 received on 19th August 2013.

Reason: To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the submitted details assessed against National, Regional and Local Planning Policy.

Samples of materials

3. No development shall commence on site until samples of the materials to be used in the development hereby permitted have been approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Samples shall be made available to be viewed at the site. This condition shall apply irrespective of any indications as to the details that may have been submitted with the application, and shall where necessary include the submission of samples of glass, plastic and mortar materials. Thereafter the materials used in the development shall be in accordance with the approved samples.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity in accordance with Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026.

Fencing and enclosures

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

4. No development or other operations shall commence on site until a scheme of fencing and other means of enclosure to be erected on the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and no buildings shall be occupied before the fencing and other means of enclosure have been erected to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: The fencing and other means of enclosure are essential elements in the detailed design of this development and the application is not accompanied by sufficient details to enable the Local Planning Authority to give proper consideration to these matters in accordance with Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026.

Hard surfaces

5. No development shall commence on site until a scheme for the means of treatment of the hard surfaced areas of the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. No building shall be occupied before the hard surfaced areas have been constructed in accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity, in accordance with Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026.

Landscaping

6. No development or other operations shall commence on site until a detailed scheme of landscaping for the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall include schedules of plants noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/densities, an implementation programme and details of written specifications including cultivation and other operations involving tree, shrub and grass establishment. The scheme shall ensure:

- a) Completion of the approved landscape scheme within the first planting season following completion of development.
- b) Any trees shrubs or plants that die or become seriously damaged within five years of this development shall be replaced in the following year by plants of the same size and species.

The landscaping shall be carried out in accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: To ensure the implementation of a satisfactory scheme of landscaping in accordance with the objectives of Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 – 2026.

Temporary Parking Area

7. No development shall take place until details of a temporary parking and turning area to be provided and maintained concurrently with the development of the site have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved parking and turning area shall be provided at the commencement of development and thereafter maintained in accordance with the approved details until the development has been completed. During this time, the approved parking and turning area shall be kept available for parking and used by employees, contractors, operatives, visitors, and other persons working on the site during all periods that they are working at or visiting the site.

Reason: To ensure that the development is provided with adequate parking and turning facilities during the construction period. This condition is imposed in order to minimise the incidences of off site parking in the locality which could cause

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

danger to other road users, and long terms inconvenience to local residents. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS13 and CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

Parking in accord with plans

8. No dwelling hereby permitted shall be occupied until the vehicle parking spaces have been surfaced and properly provided in accordance with the approved plans. The parking spaces shall thereafter be kept available for parking (of private motor vehicles and/or light goods vehicles) at all times.

Reason: To ensure the development is provided with adequate parking facilities, in order to reduce the likelihood of roadside parking that would adversely affect road safety and the flow of traffic. This condition is imposed in accordance with Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026.

Cycle parking and bin storage

9. No dwelling hereby permitted shall be occupied until the cycle parking and bin storage has been provided in accordance with the approved drawings and this area shall thereafter be kept available for the parking of cycles and storage of bins at all times.

Reason: To ensure the development reduces reliance on private motor vehicles and assists with the parking, storage and security of cycles as well as suitable provision of bin storage in accordance with Policies CS13 and CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026.

Hours of work

10. The hours of work for all contractors for the duration of the site development shall, unless otherwise agreed by the Local Planning Authority in writing, be limited to:
7.30am to 6.00pm on Mondays to Fridays 8.30am to 1.00pm on Saturdays and NO
work shall be carried out on Sundays or Bank Holidays.

Reason: In the interests of the amenities of neighbouring occupiers in accordance with Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026.

Ecological mitigation

11. The mitigation measures detailed in the Biodiversity Survey Assessment Report produced by Louise Lowans, dated 7 August 2013 and received on 19th August 2013 shall be implemented in full. The four Swift boxes erected shall be made of woodcrete.

Reason: To ensure the protection of species protected by law in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CS17 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

Ecological Review

12. No dwelling shall be occupied until a report from a qualified ecologist which confirms that the approved mitigation measures have been implemented in full has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the protection of species protected by law in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CS17 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

Relocation of BT Cabinet, Street Name Plate and 'No Loading' sign

13. No development within the application site shall commence until the BT cabinet and 'no loading' sign has been relocated at the expense of the owner of the site in accordance with details submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: The relocation of the BT cabinet and highway sign is necessary to enable access into the site for construction purposes and for future occupants of the development hereby permitted. This condition is imposed in accordance with Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026.

8.3 **Informatics**

1. This Decision Notice must be read in conjunction with the terms of a Legal Agreement of the (date to be inserted upon completion). You are advised to ensure that you have all the necessary documents before development starts on site.
2. The Highways (Planning) Manager, West Berkshire District Council, Highways and Transport, Council Offices, Market Street, Newbury RG14 5LD, telephone 01635 519169, should be contacted to agree the access construction details and to grant a licence before any work is carried out within the highway. A formal application should be made, allowing at least four (4) weeks notice, to obtain details of underground services on the applicants' behalf.
3. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the Berkshire Act, 1986, Part II, Clause 9, which enables the Highway Authority to recover the costs of repairing damage to the footway, cycleway or grass verge, arising during building operations.
4. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the Highways Act 1980, which enables the Highway Authority to recover expenses due to extraordinary traffic.
5. In order to protect the stability of the highway it is advised that no excavation is carried out within 15 metres of a public highway without the written approval of the Highway Authority.
6. Any temporary signing required as part of this development is to be agreed in writing with the Highway Authority, West Berkshire Council, Highways and Transport, Council Offices, Market Street, Newbury, RG14 5LD.
7. The decision to grant planning permission has been taken because the development is in accordance with the development plan and would have no significant impact on the character and appearance of the area or the residential amenities of the occupants of the adjacent dwellings. This informative is only intended as a summary of the reason for the grant of planning permission. For further details on the decision please see the application report which is available from the Planning Service or the Council website.
8. All bats are protected by The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (WCA) (as amended) & The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010. Should you find bats during development, all work must stop until advice has been sought from Natural England. Their local contact number is 0300 060 3886.
9. This decision has been made in a positive way to foster the delivery of sustainable development having regard to Development Plan policies and available guidance to secure high quality appropriate development. In this application whilst there has been a need to balance conflicting considerations, the local planning authority has worked proactively with the applicant to secure and accept what is considered to be a development which improves the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area.

OR

8.4 If the legal agreement is not completed within two months of the date of Committee to **DELEGATE** to the Head of Planning & Countryside to **REFUSE PLANNING PERMISSION** for the following reason:

The development fails to provide an appropriate scheme of works or off site mitigation measures to accommodate the impact of the development on local infrastructure, services or amenities or provide an appropriate mitigation measure such as a planning obligation. The proposal is therefore contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CS5 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026 as well as the West Berkshire District Council's adopted SPG4/04 - Delivering Investment from Sustainable Development.

35(5) Application No. & Parish: 13/01849/LBC - Wellington Arms, 4 Andover Road, Newbury

(Councillor David Allen declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a Member of Newbury Town Council and the Planning and Highways Committee. He had been present when the application was discussed, but would consider the application afresh. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillors Jeff Beck and Ieuan Tuck declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that they were Members of Newbury Town Council. Councillor Tuck had not been present during discussions, however Councillor Beck had been present at the committee when the application was discussed. He would consider the application afresh. As their interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

(Councillor Swift-Hook declared a personal interest in all the Agenda items by virtue of the fact that he was a member of Greenham Parish Council and Newbury Town Council who had previously considered the application, but reported that he would view the application afresh on its own merit. Councillor Swift-Hook also reported that his use of a computer during the meeting was in order to access information on the application before him. As his interest was personal and not a prejudicial or a disclosable pecuniary interest he determined to take part in the debate and vote on the matter).

The Committee considered a report (Agenda Item 4(5)) concerning Planning Application 13/01849/LBC in respect of a change of use and conversion of the main Grade II Listed pub building and two outbuildings into four new residential units. Demolition of rear extension to main building, garage/store and toilet blocks, extension linking main building to function suite and boundary wall adjacent to Derby Road. Hard and soft landscaping and provision of three car park spaces at Wellington Arms, 4 Andover Road, Newbury.

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, Phil Barnet, Parish Council representative, Ian Blake, applicant/agent, addressed the Committee on this application.

Jake Brown introduced the report to Members, which took account of all the relevant policy considerations and other material considerations. In conclusion he stated that the Officer recommendation was finely balanced.

Both Mr Barnet and Mr Blake declined to address the Committee.

Councillor Ieuan Tuck proposed the application be accepted in line with Officers' recommendation. This proposal was seconded by Councillor David Allen

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

RESOLVED to DELEGATE to the Head of Planning and Countryside to **GRANT** Planning Permission subject to the schedule of conditions (section 8.2).

CONDITIONS

Time limit

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this consent.

Reason: To comply with the requirements of Section 18 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

Approved plans

2. This listed building consent relates only to work described on the drawings and the documents identified below:

Drawing title numbers 3805 PL06 E, 3805 PL07 C, 3805 PL08 D, 3805 PL10 D, 3805 PL11 D and 3805 PL13 C received on 22nd November 2013 and drawing title number PL01 received on 19th August 2013;

Design and Access Statement received on 22nd November 2013.

No work shall be carried out other than in accordance with the above drawings and documents.

Reason: To clarify what has been approved under this consent in order to protect the special architectural or historic interest of the building.

Samples of materials

3. No development shall commence on site until samples of the materials to be used in the development hereby permitted have been approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Samples shall be made available to be viewed at the site. This condition shall apply irrespective of any indications as to the details that may have been submitted with the application, and shall where necessary include the submission of samples of glass, plastic and mortar materials. Thereafter the materials used in the development shall be in accordance with the approved samples.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity in accordance with Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026.

Workmanlike Manner

4. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in a proper workmanlike manner appropriate to the age and character of the building and using traditional materials and techniques except where the use of modern materials and techniques has specifically been approved by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To protect the special historic interest of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

Finish to match original

5. All works of making good and repair to the retained fabric, whether internal or external, shall be finished to match original work with regard to the methods used and to materials, colours, textures and profiles.

Reason: To protect the special architectural or historic interest of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

New brickwork to match existing

6. All new facing brickwork, including works of making good, shall match the existing brickwork in terms of bricks (size, colour and texture); mortar (mix, colour and texture); joint profile; and bond.

Reason: To ensure that the materials are appropriate to the special architectural or historic interest of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

No alteration of architectural features

7. Unless such work is clearly and specifically referred to on drawings or other documents hereby approved, no existing features of architectural or historic interest such as doors, linings, shutters, panelling, cornicing, decorative plasterwork, floorboards, skirting, fireplaces, lath and plaster ceilings, wattle and daub panels shall be altered, replaced or removed until a written description of the steps to be taken has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the development shall incorporate and be undertaken in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To protect the special architectural or historic interest of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

Adequate protection and support

8. Adequate protection and support to the building and adjacent structures shall be provided at all times during the work. Sufficient care shall be taken in the design and execution of all work, including any demolition and the preparation and the erection of any scaffolding, to ensure that no damage is incurred to the historic fabric of the building and adjacent structures.

Reason: To protect the special architectural or historic interest of the building and adjacent structures. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

Details of external windows and doors

9. No development shall take place until details of all new external windows and external doors have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall include materials and finishes, at a minimum scale of 1:20 and 1:2. The windows and doors shall be installed in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To protect the special architectural or historic interest of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

Details of drainage, rainwater goods, flues etc.

10. No development shall commence, and notwithstanding what is shown on the approved drawings or other approved documents, until details of the type and location of any new drainage pipework and accessories, rainwater goods, boiler

WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 11 DECEMBER 2013 - MINUTES

flues, extract vent grilles, meter cupboards, external lighting etc. visible external to the building, including soil vent pipe terminations, have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. No dwelling hereby permitted shall be occupied until the development has been carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To protect the special architectural or historic interest of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

Details of specific features

11. Prior to the commencement of related work, details of the proposed staircase to include details of handrails and balusters and all new internal doors shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. No dwelling hereby permitted shall be occupied until the development has been carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To protect the special architectural or historic interest of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.

8.3 Informatives

1. This Listed Building Consent should be read in conjunction with associated planning permission 13/01848/FULD.
2. All bats are protected by The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (WCA) (as amended) & [The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010](#). Should you find bats during development, all work must stop until advice has been sought from Natural England. Their local contact number is 0300 060 3886.
3. This decision has been made in a positive way to foster the delivery of sustainable development having regard to Development Plan policies and available guidance to secure high quality appropriate development. In this application whilst there has been a need to balance conflicting considerations, the local planning authority has worked proactively with the applicant to secure and accept what is considered to be a development which improves the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area.

36. Appeal Decisions relating to Western Area Planning Committee

Members noted the outcome of appeal decisions relating to the Western Area.

(The meeting commenced at 6.30 pm and closed at 9.35 pm)

CHAIRMAN

.....

Date of Signature

.....